

The volume-pressure trajectories inferred from the Birch equation of state are shown in fig. 5 for olivines and the olivine-transformed spinels in the  $\text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4$ – $\text{Fe}_2\text{SiO}_4$  series. The equations of state of olivines in the forsterite-fayalite series have been presented earlier [3], and they are merely reproduced here to compare them with those of the olivine-transformed spinels. The points entered in fig. 5 are isothermal compression data of a peridot-olivine, due to Bridgman [29], and of a fayalite-olivine due to recent work of Takahashi [30] \*. The shock-compression data of McQueen et al. [31] for natural dunites are also compared with the volume-pressure trajectories for olivine. For the olivine-transformed spinels in the  $(\text{Mg}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x})_2\text{SiO}_4$  system, the only compression data, due to Mao et al. [12], are compared with the volume-pressure trajectories derived from eq. (5). It is apparent from fig. 5 that, although the present comparison is made for a pressure range of about 15% of the bulk modulus, there is a strong evidence of agreement between the experimental compression data and the present equations of state of the olivine-transformed spinels. This agreement in turn may serve as supporting evidence for the idea presented in this communication.

In addition, there is an important observation to be made from fig. 5. Shown here are the effects of iron/magnesium ratios on the compression curves of olivines and the olivine-transformed spinels in the  $(\text{Mg}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x})_2\text{SiO}_4$  system. Effects of an iron substitution for magnesium in these crystal lattices on the compression curve are such that the  $\text{Fe}_2\text{SiO}_4$ –spinel is less compressible than the  $\beta$ – $\text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4$  (spinel) at all pressures, whereas in the olivine structure we have seen [3] that forsterite is less compressible than fayalite. It may be of interest to note that, if the compression trajectories for forsterite and fayalite are extended to pressures above their olivine-spinel phase transformation pressures, these two compression trajectories cross over at a pressure of about 180 ( $\pm 20$ )

kb (corresponding to a volume ratio of  $(V/V_0) = 0.9$ ). Above this pressure, fayalite is less compressible than forsterite; this extended observation is consistent with the compression curves of the olivine-transformed spinels presented in this paper.

### Acknowledgment

Financial support received from the National Science Foundation under Grant GA-11091 is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- [1] A.E. Ringwood, Phase transformations in the mantle, *Earth Planet. Sci. Letters* 5 (1969) 401.
- [2] D.L. Anderson, Phase changes in the upper mantle, *Science* 157 (1967) 1165.
- [3] D.H. Chung, Elasticity and equations of state of olivines in the  $\text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4$ – $\text{Fe}_2\text{SiO}_4$  system, *Geophys. J.* 25 (1971).
- [4] F. Birch, Composition of the earth's mantle, *Geophys. J.* 4 (1961) 295.
- [5] F. Birch, The velocity of compressional waves in rocks to 10 kilobars, Part 2, *J. Geophys. Res.* 66 (1961) 2199.
- [6] F. Birch, The velocity of compressional waves in rocks to 10 kilobars, Part 1, *J. Geophys. Res.* 65 (1960) 1083.
- [7] R.G. McQueen, J.N. Fritz and S.P. Marsh, On the composition of the Earth's interior, *J. Geophys. Res.* 69 (1964) 2947.
- [8] C.Y. Wang, Equation of state of periclase and some of its geophysical implications, *J. Geophys. Res.* 74 (1969) 1451.
- [9] G. Simmons, Velocity of shear waves in rocks to 10 kilobars, *J. Geophys. Res.* 69 (1964) 1123.
- [10] D.H. Chung, Effects of iron/magnesium ratio on P- and S-wave velocities in olivine, *J. Geophys. Res.* 75 (1970) 7353.
- [11] H. Muzitani, Y. Hamano, Y. Ida and S. Akimoto, Compressional wave velocities in fayalite,  $\text{Fe}_2\text{SiO}_4$ –spinel, and coesite, *J. Geophys. Res.* 75 (1970) 2741.
- [12] H. Mao, T. Takahashi, W.A. Bassett, J.S. Weaver and S. Akimoto, Effect of pressure and temperature on the molar volumes of wustite and of three  $(\text{Fe,Mg})_2\text{SiO}_4$ –spinel solid solutions, *J. Geophys. Res.* 74 (1969) 1061.
- [13] D.H. Chung, On the solid equations of state of high-pressure polymorphs, to be published.
- [14] L. Pauling, *The Nature of the Chemical Bond* (Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, 1948).
- [15] D.H. Chung, P.O. Benson and W.B. Crandall, Elastic properties of magnesium-aluminate spinels, *Tech. Rept.* 6 (1963), Army Research Office Contract no. DA-31-124-ARO (D-23).

\* It may be noted that Takahashi found  $K = 1.35 (\pm 0.15)$  Mb by a curve-fitting procedure using the Murnaghan equation of state with the assumption that  $(\partial K/\partial p) = 4.5$  for fayalite. As is shown in ref. [3], the  $(\partial K/\partial p)$  measured on fayalite samples is  $5.97 (\pm 0.32)$ ; using this value of  $(\partial K/\partial p)$  in the Murnaghan and Birch equations of state results in a  $K$  value of  $1.2 (\pm 0.08)$  Mb. This new value of the isothermal bulk modulus  $K$  is in good agreement with  $1.211 (\pm 0.024)$  Mb reported in ref. [10].

- [16] M.F. Lewis, Elastic constants of magnesium aluminate spinel, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 40 (1966) 729.
- [17] R.J. O'Connell and E.K. Graham, Equation of state of stoichiometric spinel to 10 kbar and 800°K. *Trans. Am. Geophys. Union* 52 (1971) 359.
- [18] E. Schreiber, Elastic moduli of single crystal spinel at 25°C and to 2 kbar, *J. Appl. Phys.* 38 (1967) 2508.
- [19] H. Wang and G. Simmons, The elastic constants of an iron-bearing spinel, *Trans. Am. Geophys. Union* 52 (1971) 358. [It is noted that some of the values presented in the paper abstract have been revised in personal communications. The data cited in table 4 of this paper are correct, according to H. Wang.]
- [20] F.D. Murnaghan, *Finite Deformation of an Elastic Solid* (Wiley, New York, 1951) 73.
- [21] O.L. Anderson, Two methods for estimating compression and sound velocity at very high pressures, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 54 (1965) 667.
- [22] O.L. Anderson, The use of ultrasonic measurements under modest pressure to estimate compression at high pressure, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 27 (1966) 547.
- [23] I.N. Ryabinin, Volume compressibility of elements at ultrahigh pressure, *Fiz. Metal. Metalloved.* 2 (1956) 225.
- [24] D.H. Chung, H. Wang, and G. Simmons, On the calculation of the seismic parameter at high pressure and high temperatures, *J. Geophys. Res.* 75 (1970) 5113.
- [25] J.R. Macdonald, Some simple isothermal equations of state, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 38 (1966) 669.
- [26] J.R. Macdonald, Review of some experimental and analytical equations of state, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 41 (1969) 316.
- [27] F. Birch, Elasticity and constitution of the Earth's interior, *J. Geophys. Res.* 57 (1952) 227.
- [28] F. Birch, Finite elastic strain of cubic crystals, *Phys. Rev.* 71 (1947) 809.
- [29] P.W. Bridgman, The compression of 39 substances to 100,000 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>, *Proc. Am. Acad. Arts Sci.* 76 (1948) 55.
- [30] T. Takahashi, Isothermal compression of fayalite at room temperature, *Trans. Am. Geophys. Union* 51 (1970) 827.
- [31] R.G. McQueen, S.P. March and J.N. Fritz, Hugoniot equation of state of 12 rocks, *J. Geophys. Res.* 72 (1967) 4999.
- [32] D.H. Chung, Equations of state of magnesium-aluminate spinels, to be published.
- [33] R.K. Verma, Elasticity of some high-density crystals, *J. Geophys. Res.* 65 (1960) 757.